C	Λ	二	Λ	3	٦	a	7	드
\sim	v	J	ュ	Ų		ン	- /	J

PRINTED: Monday, December 06, 2004

16:15

CODEWORDS:

0000043

(b)(1) (b)(3)

(b)(5)

DOC 22

TOP SECRET

HANDLE VIA

CHANNELS

30 November 2004

Memorandum for the Record

KEY: C/2004-00730

EVENT:

MEMBER BRIEFING

DATE: 07/13/2004 TIME: 14:15 STATUS: COMPLETED

PLACE:

H-405

CAPITOL

FOR: **HPSCI**

SUBJECT: INTERROGATIONS

ATTENDEES:

ASSOCIATION DCI//OCA

NAME

MOSKOWITZ, STAN

סמם

PAVITT, JAMES (JIM)

GC

MULLER, SCOTT

HPSCI

GOSS, PORTER [R-FL] HPSCI HARMAN, JANE ID-CAI

HPSCI

HPSCVSTAFF

IG

HELGERSON, JOHN

ROLE

SUPPORT

BRIEFER

SUPPORT

BRIEFER

CHARMAN

REP ·

STAFF

STAFF

BRIEFER

SUPPORT

Executive Summary:

Summary Text:

(S) This briefing was at the request of D/OCA. There were three purposes. One was for the IG to present his recent report on interrogations and to answer questions. The second was for an update on the status of the interrogation process. The third purpose was to allow the General Counsel to inform them of the legal and policy issues that had recently arisen and give an appreciation of where all that stood.

[TS] D/OCA began the meeting by outlining the three purposes of the meeting. The IG then
briefed his report. He said that at first much went right with the debriefing and interrogation program, although the
program was put together quickly. (He briefed from the paper attached.) He said that there was considerable
substantive success; thousands of reports had been written; interrogations had led to the exposure and defeat of terrorist
cells and terrorists: Chairman Goss asked how many of the reports were "strategic" and how many were "tactical"
The IG indicated he was not sure. Ms. Harman asked when did we begain using "enhanced techniques." The DDO
responded that it began with Abu Zabayda. The IG indicated that the interrogations were legal, including the use of
enhanced techniques. The General Counsel said that the effort was working effectively under the DOI 1 August 2002
memo which was the legal foundation for the debriefings and interrogations. The IG indicated that the 1 August memo
did not address Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman, or Degrading Treatment or
Punishment. AThe article 16that required signatory Sstates to prevent in any territory subject to their jurisdiction acts
of cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment not amounting to torture. The question was whether CIA's
use of the enhanced techniques would transgress U.S. obligations under Article 16. The IG indicated he was also
bothered in that the DOI 1 August document did not address interrogations as we carried them out. He said that for the

TOP SECRET

	d letainees were well handled, exc	ept for the event in November 2003 in which a
Chairman and Ranking	a handgun in front of a detainee. He indicated	I that was the event previously reported to the
that none of the detain	ees who had died had been subjected to the enh	ted, took no action on that case. It was also true
	who was often was poort analogication to the sim	•
deaths were communic	ated to the two committees-	The unindicated that all
	in the continue of the continue of	
	• •	
,		
L		The death in
Arghanistan	in which David Passaro, a CIA contrac	tor, was involved. Passaro was recently indicted
on four counts of assau	 He allegedly beat a person who subsequent 	ly died. It took a period of time for DOI to move
to the indictment becar	se people who needed to be interviewed.were : y officers lacked timely guidance, training, exp	scattered. The IG said the common link in these
		and Judgmont.
()(S) The IG then turned to the waterboard iss	ue. He said that three people had been
interrogated with the w	aterboard. On one, the IG felt it had been used	excessively, beyond what the IG thought was the
agreement with DOI'	Litalia Sheikh Mohammed (KSM) got 183 app	lications The IG
indicated the guidance	in cables sent to the field evolved over time an	d that the quidance did not get to everybody who
was involved in debrief	ing interrogations. In January 2003, the DCI is	esned puidance, seven months after the first
deometrings began, and	addressed only those detained	Harman asked if we were
talking about the	IShe asked why the DCI guidan	nce was late. The IG indicated that guidance had
gone out earner, but the	real guidance was in January of 2003. The D	DO explained that after 9/11 "we were thrown
authorized. A	There was lots of confusion over interrogation	as, the enhanced program, and what was fully 🗀
	[6-2.3	was assessed by o Propression and Miltie barranton
	lor instance, no one was:authorized to do i	nterrogations. This was also true at 1
He indicated that every	instance of wrongdoing was promptly reported	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was
	instance of wrongdoing was promptly reported	nterrogations. This was also true at 1
He indicated that every no instance of the IG bo		nterrogations. This was also true at line was and investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney	instance, no one was authorized to do instance of wrongdoing was promptly reporteding kept in the dark. General's seeming withdrawal of an earlier of	nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney		nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney	instance, no one was authorized to do instance of wrongdoing was promptly reporteding kept in the dark. General's seeming withdrawal of an earlier of	nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney	instance, no one was authorized to do instance of wrongdoing was promptly reporteding kept in the dark. General's seeming withdrawal of an earlier of	nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney	instance, no one was authorized to do instance of wrongdoing was promptly reporteding kept in the dark. General's seeming withdrawal of an earlier of	nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney	instance, no one was authorized to do instance of wrongdoing was promptly reporteding kept in the dark. General's seeming withdrawal of an earlier of	nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG bore reaction to the Attorney	instance, no one was authorized to do instance of wrongdoing was promptly reporteding kept in the dark. General's seeming withdrawal of an earlier of	nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG bore reaction to the Attorney	instance, no one was authorized to do instance of wrongdoing was promptly reporteding kept in the dark. General's seeming withdrawal of an earlier of	nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney	instance, no one was authorized to do instance of wrongdoing was promptly reporteding kept in the dark. General's seeming withdrawal of an earlier of	nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney	instance, no one was authorized to do instance of wrongdoing was promptly reporteding kept in the dark. General's seeming withdrawal of an earlier of	nterrogations. This was also true at land investigated by the IG. He said there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience"	The Chairman asked whether	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was pinion that enhanced interrogations did not tutional.
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said	The Chairman asked whether The Chairman noted that the	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was pinion that enhanced interrogations did not tutional. had stood down in their did not specify interrogations and
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said only authorized capture	The Chairman asked whether The Chairman noted that the and detention. She asked whether we had one	had stood down in their flid not specify interrogations and estioned detainees before the
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said only authorized capture	The Chairman asked whether The Chairman noted that the and detention. She asked whether we had one	had stood down in their flid not specify interrogations and estioned detainees before the
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said only authorized capture	The Chairman asked whether The Chairman asked whether To. Rep. Harman noted that the and detention. She asked whether we had que to but no enhanced techniques had been used but	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was pinion that enhanced interrogations did not tutional. had stood down in their did not specify interrogations and estioned detainees before the efore Abu Zabayda and there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said tonly authorized capture The GC said yes	The Chairman asked whether The Chairman asked whether To. Rep. Harman noted that the and detention. She asked whether we had que that no enhanced techniques had been used by Abu Zabayda and enhanced techniques	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was pinion that enhanced interrogations did not tutional. had stood down in their did not specify interrogations and estioned detainees before the services and there was
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said to only authorized capture The GC said yes there was a lengthy uncited the conscience of the IG said yes there was a lengthy uncited the IG said yes there was a lengthy uncited the IG said yes th	The Chairman asked whether io. Rep. Harman noted that the and detention. She asked whether we had que to but no enhanced techniques had been used by Abu Zabayda and enhanced technique assified opinion by DOI generally discussing	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was pinion that enhanced interrogations did not tutional. had stood down in their did not specify interrogations and estioned detainees before the efore Abu Zabayda and there was set which started in August 2002. In August 2002 interrogations. In a separate and classified
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said to only authorized capture The GC said yes there was a lengthy uncoppinion addressed to Joint authorized to Joint authorize	The Chairman asked whether oo. Rep. Harman noted that the and detention. She asked whether we had que but no enhanced techniques had been used by Abu Zabayda and enhanced technique assified opinion by DOJ generally discussing an Rizzo, OGC, DOJ concluded the ten specific	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was pinion that enhanced interrogations did not tutional. had stood down in their did not specify interrogations and estioned detainees before the efore Abu Zabayda and there was set which started in August 2002. In August 2002 interrogations. In a separate and classified
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said to only authorized capture The GC said yes there was a lengthy uncoppinion addressed to Joint authorized to Joint authorize	The Chairman asked whether The Chairman asked whether To. Rep. Harman noted that the and detention. She asked whether we had que that no enhanced techniques had been used by Abu Zabayda and enhanced techniques	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was pinion that enhanced interrogations did not tutional. had stood down in their did not specify interrogations and estioned detainees before the efore Abu Zabayda and there was set which started in August 2002. In August 2002 interrogations. In a separate and classified
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said to only authorized capture The GC said yes there was a lengthy uncoppinion addressed to Joint authorized to Joint authorize	The Chairman asked whether oo. Rep. Harman noted that the and detention. She asked whether we had que but no enhanced techniques had been used by Abu Zabayda and enhanced technique assified opinion by DOJ generally discussing an Rizzo, OGC, DOJ concluded the ten specific	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was pinion that enhanced interrogations did not tutional. had stood down in their did not specify interrogations and estioned detainees before the efore Abu Zabayda and there was set which started in August 2002. In August 2002 interrogations. In a separate and classified
He indicated that every no instance of the IG be reaction to the Attorney "shock the conscience" activities. The IG said to only authorized capture The GC said yes there was a lengthy uncoppinion addressed to Joint authorized to Joint authorize	The Chairman asked whether oo. Rep. Harman noted that the and detention. She asked whether we had que but no enhanced techniques had been used by Abu Zabayda and enhanced technique assified opinion by DOJ generally discussing an Rizzo, OGC, DOJ concluded the ten specific	nterrogations. This was also true at and investigated by the IG. He said there was pinion that enhanced interrogations did not tutional. had stood down in their did not specify interrogations and estioned detainees before the efore Abu Zabayda and there was set which started in August 2002. In August 2002 interrogations. In a separate and classified

TOP SECRET

(S) The GC laid out the legal analysis. The Attorney General had consistently advised the NSC Principals that the CIA techniques did not violate US statutes, met all obligations under the treaties, including Article 16 of the Torture Convention, and would not violate U.S. constitution standards were those standards to apply to aliens overseas. But the AG's willingness to stand behind these prior statements changed after DoJ'sthe lengthy unclassified legal memo on interrogations leaked and after the Abu Ghurayrabib scandal. CIA is now seeking to have DoJ reaffirm its prior written opinion that CIA's techniques doid not violate the torture statute, and to issue a new written opinion on Article 16 of the Convention Against Torture and U.S. constitutional standards. At the same time, CIA is seeking renewed policy approval from the NSC Principals to continue using the enhanced interrogation techniques.

Director of Congressional Affans

Distribution:

- 1 DAC (Official OCA Record)
- 1 GC
- 1 D/OCA

Follow-up Action Items:

Additional Information:

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

. TOP SECRET TOP SECRET/

6.

7.

8.

9.

10